

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 24-1363**September Term, 2024****FCC-89FR91578****FCC-89FR97559****Filed On:** March 19, 2025

Public Safety Spectrum Alliance and Public
Safety Broadband Technology Association,

Petitioners

v.

Federal Communications Commission and
United States of America,

Respondents

Coalition for Emergency Response and
Critical Infrastructure and San Francisco Bay
Area Rapid Transit District,
Intervenors

Consolidated with 24-1364, 25-1028, 25-1034

BEFORE: Henderson, Millett, and Walker, Circuit Judges

ORDER

Upon consideration of the motions for stay, petitioner San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit District's alternative request for expedition, the oppositions to the motions, the notice of joinder, and the replies, it is

ORDERED that the motions for stay be denied. Movants have not satisfied the stringent requirements for a stay pending court review. See Nken v. Holder, 556 U.S. 418, 434 (2009); D.C. Circuit Handbook of Practice and Internal Procedures 33 (2024). It is

FURTHER ORDERED, on the court's own motion, that within 30 days of the date of this order, the parties submit proposed formats for the briefing of these cases. The

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 24-1363**September Term, 2024**

parties are strongly urged to submit a joint proposal and are reminded that the court looks with extreme disfavor on repetitious submissions and will, where appropriate, require a joint brief of aligned parties with total words not to exceed the standard allotment for a single brief. Whether the parties are aligned or have disparate interests, they must provide *detailed* justifications for any request to file separate briefs or to exceed in the aggregate the standard word allotment. Requests to exceed the standard word allotment must specify the word allotment necessary for each issue.

Per Curiam

FOR THE COURT:

Clifton B. Cislak, Clerk

BY: /s/

Selena R. Gancasz
Deputy Clerk